



Ralph E. Jocke
Patent
&
Trademark Law

Walker & Jocke
a legal professional association

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

TRANSMITTING: 7 PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE)

NAME: Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

FAX NUMBER: (703) 746-4000

DATE: June 13, 2005

SENDER: Ralph E. Jocke, Esq.

FAX OF SENDER (330) 722-6446

In Re Application of: Steven Shepley, et al. Art Unit 2876
 Serial No.: 10/721,114
 Confirm. No.: 6189
 Filed: November 25, 2003
 For: CASH DISPENSING AUTOMATED
 BANKING MACHINE DIAGNOSTIC
 DEVICE

Primary Examiner
Daniel A. Hess

Docket: D-1182R2

Please find enclosed an Issue Fee Transmittal form, Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance, and Comments on the Substance of the Interview for filing in the above-referenced Application. Please charge the Issue Fee, Publication Fee and Advanced Order Fee to Deposit Account No. 09-0428 of InterBold.

Very truly yours,

Ralph E. Jocke Reg. No. 31,029

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this document and the documents indicated as enclosed herewith are being sent by facsimile transmission to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office this 14 day of June, 2005.


330 • 721 • 0000
MEDINA

330 • 225 • 1669
CLEVELAND

330 • 722 • 6446
FACSIMILE

rej@walkerandjocke.com
E-MAIL

231 South Broadway, Medina, Ohio U.S.A. 44256-2601



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Steven Shepley, et al.

Serial No.: 10/721,114

Art Unit
2876

Confirm. No.: 6189

Filed: November 25, 2003

Primary Examiner
Daniel A. HessFor: CASH DISPENSING
AUTOMATED BANKING
MACHINE DIAGNOSTIC
DEVICE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowability dated June 6, 2005 kindly enter Applicants' comments of Statement for Reasons for Allowance without prejudice as follows:

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

It is respectfully submitted that while the specific features and relationships mentioned in the Statement of Reasons for Allowance are not disclosed or suggested in the prior art, these are not the only reasons for allowance. For example, independent claims 30 and 49 and dependent claims 31-44 recite additional features and relationships not mentioned in the Statement, which are also not disclosed or suggested by the prior art.

In addition the statement incorrectly rephrases features recited in claim 30. For example, claim 30 does not recite "diagnostic software stored on the ATM". Rather claim 30 recites "diagnostic software operating in at least one processor of the ATM". Thus claim 30 is not limited to having the diagnostic software stored on the ATM, but rather may also encompass using diagnostic software operating in the at least one processor of the ATM which is accessed from a remote server, the diagnostic article, or other sources or storage locations which provide diagnostic software.


In addition, claim 30 does not recite an "interfacing device". Further, claim 30 does not recite "to display on the display device of the ATM diagnostic data concerning at least one operational characteristic." Rather claim 30 recites "to enable visual information to be proved through a display device of the ATM, at least some of which visual information is provided responsive to ATM service data read from the diagnostic article and diagnostic data stored in at least one data store of the ATM, which diagnostic data concerns at least one operational characteristic". Thus claim 30 is not limited to displaying visual information which includes diagnostic data, but rather may also encompass displaying visual information which does not include diagnostic data, such as service data and/or other visual information which can be

provided responsive to service data read from the diagnostic article and diagnostic data stored in at least one data store of the ATM.


Applicants disagree with all undue limiting remarks in the statement. Further there is no one-to-one correspondence between the elements recited in independent claim 30 and the elements recited in independent claim 49. The Statement should not be interpreted as requiring that claim 49 include the subject matter recited in claim 30.

It should be understood that the allowed subject matter is to only be directed to that subject matter which is specifically recited in the claims. Any remarks in the statement which add to or subtract from the specifically recited subject matter should be disregarded.

Respectfully submitted,



Ralph E. Jocke Reg. No. 31,029
WALKER & JOCKE
231 South Broadway
Medina, Ohio 44256
(330) 721-0000



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Steven Shepley, et al.

Serial No.: 10/721,114

Art Unit
2876

Confirm. No.: 6189

Filed: November 25, 2003

Primary Examiner
Daniel A. HessFor: CASH DISPENSING
AUTOMATED BANKING
MACHINE DIAGNOSTIC
DEVICE

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Interview Summary dated June 6, 2005 kindly enter Applicants' comments without prejudice as follows:

Comments on the Substance of the Interview

A telephonic interview was conducted on May 6, 2005 with Examiner Daniel A. Hess and a representative (Ralph E. Jocke, Reg. No. 31,029) of Applicants. Applicants' representative gave authorization for the Examiner to do an Examiner's Amendment canceling claims 1-29, 45-48 and 50-53 without prejudice and amending claims 30, 37, and 49 for the purpose of placing the application in condition for allowance. Claims 30 and 37 were amended to enhance clarity and were not narrowed. Applicants' respectfully submit that the Examiner's Interview Summary is complete and correct.

Respectfully submitted,



Ralph E. Jocke Reg. No. 31,029
WALKER & JOCKE
231 South Broadway
Medina, Ohio 44256